## **EXHIBIT 4**

```
1
                UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
             SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA
 2
                    CHARLESTON DIVISION
    IN RE: ETHICON, INC., PELVIC ) Master File No.
 3
    REPAIR SYSTEM PRODUCTS ) 2:12-MD-02327
    LIABILITY LITIGATION
                              ) MDL 2327
 5
    THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO
    PLAINTIFFS:
 6
    Diane Kropf
 7
    Case No. 2:12-cv-01202
                                      JOSEPH R. GOODWIN
                                      U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE
    Judy Williams
 8
    Case No. 2:13-cv-00657
 9
    Myra Byrd
10
    Case No. 2:12-cv-00748
11
    Angela Coleman
    Case No. 2:12-cv-01267
12
    Susan Thamen (Reeves)
    Case No. 2:12-cv-00279
13
14
    Donna Zoltowski
    Case No. 2:12-cv-00811
15
16
17
           DEPOSITION OF JOSEPH M. CARBONE, M.D.
18
                      GENERAL PROLIFT
19
                 Wednesday, March 16, 2016
20
                    Danville, Virginia
21
                          9:20 p.m.
22
23
    Reported by: Karen K. Kidwell, RMR, CRR, CLR
24
                 GOLKOW TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
              877.370.3377 ph | 917.591.5672 fax
25
                      deps@golkow.com
```

- 1 which you don't have a list for, are randomized
- 2 controlled trials? Did you do that analysis?
- 3 A. I did not. But I didn't specify that
- 4 these pelvic floor repair system studies were
- 5 randomized control, so please don't read into that in
- 6 my sentence.
- 7 Q. Do you know what time frame is being
- 8 referenced when you make this statement?
- 9 A. Since its inception till now.
- 10 Q. So when do you believe is its date of
- 11 inception?
- 12 A. With the research from the -- the study
- 13 group from the European study group.
- Q. So any study that predates that study
- 15 would not be included in this list of 100 studies?
- 16 A. I'm sorry?
- 17 Q. Any study which predates --
- 18 A. The European --
- 19 Q. -- the European study would not be
- 20 included in the over 100 studies you claim have been
- 21 done with Prolift?
- 22 A. It probably wouldn't, no.
- 23 Q. Do you know of any changes that have been
- 24 made to the design of the Prolift since it was
- 25 launched in March of 2005?

- 1 MR. ROSENBLATT: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: To the Prolift? I mean,
- you're talking about Prolift. There's been
- 4 Prolift M.
- 5 BY MR. FAES:
- 6 Q. No. I'm talking about the Prolift.
- 7 Forget about the Prolift M.
- 8 A. See, that's what I'm saying. There have
- 9 been design changes with respect to the Prolift and
- 10 the Prolift M and there was some other issues. So,
- in answer to your question, there have been design
- 12 changes that I'm aware of. So, yes, Prolift to
- 13 Prolift M. Yes, that's the design change.
- Q. Setting aside the Prolift M --
- 15 A. Okay. Go ahead.
- 16 O. -- are you aware of any changes that have
- 17 been made to the Prolift since it was launched in
- 18 March of 2005 in the United States?
- 19 A. In March of 2005? Design changes?
- Q. Correct.
- 21 A. Not that I'm aware of.
- 22 Q. So it's fair to say, if there were design
- 23 changes made after March of 2005, you wouldn't be
- 24 aware of how those changes might affect the safety or
- 25 efficacy of the device because you don't know what

- 1 those changes are?
- 2 A. No, I would be aware. I disagree with
- 3 that completely. And because -- I would be aware
- 4 because they would be included in the random -- in
- 5 the high-level research that's out there regarding
- 6 the TVT Prolift -- I'm sorry; I keep saying TVT
- 7 because I just went through TVT -- the Gynecare
- 8 Prolift product that's been included in the
- 9 literature.
- I mean, the bottom line is, whether I know
- 11 whether there's been a product change or a design
- 12 change, as you say, that design change would still be
- included in the literature, the body of literature
- 14 that I've reviewed, the high-level body of literature
- 15 that I've reviewed. So whether or not I know -- I
- 16 would know whether it affects the safety or efficacy
- 17 because it would show up in the literature that I've
- 18 reviewed.
- 19 Q. But since you don't know what design
- 20 changes occurred and when they occurred, you wouldn't
- 21 be able to do an analysis of the data from, say, the
- 22 time before the design changed and the time after?
- 23 A. Well, fortunately --
- 24 MR. ROSENBLATT: Object to form. Lack of
- 25 foundation about design changes to Prolift.

```
1
                THE WITNESS: Can I answer?
 2.
                MR. ROSENBLATT: Yeah, you can answer.
 3
                THE WITNESS: Fortunately, the data seems,
 4
          at least for the high-level studies, seems
 5
          relatively consistent. So it's not a matter of
          whether I have to know when the design -- I
 6
 7
          mean, let's say, in X period, right, the design
 8
          changed. Well, the results previous to the
          design change and the results after the design
 9
10
          change seem to be relatively consistent.
11
                So I don't understand whether what your --
12
          what clinical relevance -- I guess, what I'm
13
          saying to you is what clinical relevance it has
14
          as to whether I know when the design change
15
          happened so long as I know the body of
16
          literature, which is robust, regarding the
17
          safety and efficacy of the Gynecare Prolift
18
          product.
19
     BY MR. FAES:
20
                Well, how can you say that the data
           Q.
21
     remains consistent when you don't know what time
22
     periods to analyze?
23
                From -- wait. Go ahead. Go ahead.
           Α.
24
     Object.
25
                                 Object to form.
                MR. ROSENBLATT:
```

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I, Karen K. Kidwell, RMR, CRR, in and for
4	the Commonwealth of Virginia, do hereby certify that
5	there came before me on Wednesday, March 16, 2016, the
6	person hereinbefore named, who was by me duly sworn to
7	testify to the truth and nothing but the truth of his
8	knowledge concerning the matters in controversy in this
9	cause; that the witness was thereupon examined under
10	oath, the examination reduced to typewriting under my
11	direction, and the deposition is a true record of the
12	testimony given by the witness.
13	I further certify that I am neither attorney
14	or counsel for, nor related to or employed by, any
15	attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto or
16	financially interested in the action.
17	This the 18th day of March, 2016.
18	
19	
20	Karen K. Kidwell, RMR, CRR
21	Notary Public #7625774
22	My Commission Expires: 9/30/2019
23	
24	
25	